

# **Partition-Based Active Learning for Graph Neural Networks** Jiaqi Ma\*, Ziqiao Ma\*, Joyce Chai, Qiaozhu Mei



### **SUMMARY**

# FORMULATION

- We study semi-supervised learning with Combining the settings of one-shot learning and batch-mode active learning: In each run, the algorithm use up the predefined budget to select a batch of nodes to label. The querying process is done once and for all in order to minimize retraining. Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) in an one-shot Active Learning (AL) setup.
- We propose GraphPart, a novel partitionbased active learning approach for GNNs without additional hyperparameters.
- Extensive experiments on multiple benchmark datasets demonstrate that Graph-Part outperforms existing under a wide range of annotation budget constraints.



#### MOTIVATIONS

# **THEORETIC ANALYSIS**

We study GSSL in an one-shot AL setup:

- Realistic Setting: We have access to abundant unlabeled samples prior to learning, and flexibility to query labels for a small portion of the samples.
- Framework Nature: GNNs utilize the relational information among the interconnected samples, and properly selecting nodes to annotate may further enhance GNN's performance.

Limitations: Prior methods were unable to **EXPERIMENTS** 

9: **return s**<sub>1</sub>

The GraphPart approximates a optimization problem that is equivalent to minimizing the

ASSUMPTION 1 (LABEL SMOOTHNESS). Assume that  $\forall c \in [C]$ , there exists a function  $\eta_c : \mathcal{V} \to [0, 1]$  such that  $\Pr[y_i = c \mid v_i] = \eta_c(v_i)$  for any  $i \in V$ . Moreover,  $\forall k \in [K], \forall i, j \in T_k$ , assume that there exists a constant  $\delta_{\eta} < \infty$ , such that

 $|\eta_c(v_i) - \eta_c(v_j)| \le \delta_{\eta} ||g(v_i) - g(v_j)||_2.$ 

ASSUMPTION 2 (MODEL SMOOTHNESS). Assume that  $\forall e, e' \in \mathbb{R}^{d'}$ , the *MLP* h satisfies  $||h(e) - h(e')||_{\infty} \le \delta_h ||e - e'||_2$  for some constant  $\delta_h < \infty$ .

**The Main Result.** We use T(i) to denote the partition where the node *i* belongs to, and denote for convenience the training set  $S_{tr} := \mathbf{s}_0 \cup \mathbf{s}_1$  and the test set  $S_{te} := V \setminus S_{tr}$ . We have:

PROPOSITION 1. For any fixed GNN model f, under Assumptions 1 and 2, for any  $i \in S_{te}$ , if  $S_{tr} \cap T(i) \neq \emptyset$ , letting  $\tau(i) := \arg \min_{l \in S_{tr}} I$  $\cap T(i) ||g(v_i) - g(v_l)||_2, \epsilon_i := ||g(v_i) - g(v_{\tau(i)})||_2, and \gamma_i := 2\delta_h \epsilon_i, then$ we have

 $\mathbb{E}_{y_i}[\mathcal{L}_0(f(v_i), y_i)] \le C\delta_{\eta}\varepsilon_i + \mathbb{E}_{y_{\tau(i)}}[\mathcal{L}_{\gamma_i}(f(v_{\tau(i)}), y_{\tau(i)})].$ 



### **BIAS MITIGATION**



We also introduce GraphPartFar, a greedy correction that instead of selecting nodes closest to centers, the distance function to minimize is penalized by the minimum distance to any selected node. GraphPartFar makes sure that all the selected nodes are not too close and similar to each other, increasing the diversity of the pool.

